

# FRP

## Field Research Project Packet

The FRP Capstone Option is an 18,000-20,000 word, 50 page research paper (including notes and bibliography) on a topic of interest in the student's field of concentration. FRPs are completed in the context of a 3 credit hour directed study. The FRP option is available to students in all MAR degree programs, and to MDiv students who wish to use this option to complete 3 hours of elective credit in a field of interest. The FRP will be graded using the CBS Capstone Assessment Rubric (attached) and should provide evidence that the student has met the appropriate CBS degree program requirements for graduation. Because the FRP is a capstone experience, students may not enroll for FRP credit until they have completed  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the coursework toward their degree.

### FRP Process Overview:



### Steps and Policies:

- ✓ Step 1: *Complete  $\frac{1}{2}$  or more of total coursework for your degree program.*
  - Note that this coursework must be successfully completed *before* the semester in which you enroll for FRP credit.
  
- ✓ Step 2: *Secure an FRP advisor and identify a topic.*

**When?** Begin working on this step at the beginning of the semester *before* the semester in which you plan to begin work on your FRP. If you plan to begin your FRP in the fall semester, approach prospective advisors at the beginning of the preceding spring semester.

  - Your advisor will help you identify and limit the scope of your topic.
  - Work with your advisor to develop a general outline of the flow of your argument.
  - Note that each faculty member can advise only a limited number of FRPs each year. You may be placed with an advisor other than your first choice.
  
- ✓ Step 3: *Prepare a FRP Proposal.*

**When?** Your FRP proposal **must be approved by the MAR Assessment Team before you can enroll for FRP credit**—there will be no exceptions to this rule. Practically speaking, this means that you should finish your proposal in the semester before the semester in which you intend to enroll for FRP credit. If you plan to enroll for FRP credit in the fall semester, you should complete the proposal during the spring; in some cases, however, your advisor may tell you to write the proposal during the summer, in which case it may be reviewed and approved at the August meeting of the MAR Assessment Team (see Step 4 below).

  - The general guidelines for preparing a FRP proposal are included in this packet. Note that your advisor may suggest minor emendations to these guidelines based on your specific topic and line of argument.
  - The proposal is not finished until your advisor is entirely confident of the FRP statement, the outline of content, and the overall presentation. Plan to complete several revisions of the proposal based on your advisor's feedback.
  - Because the proposal will likely go through several revisions, plan to submit the first draft of the proposal to your advisor at least six weeks before the date on which you plan to submit the proposal for committee review (see Step 4 below).
  - A FRP proposal does *not* seek to identify a topic for discussion or a broad research question; the proposal should indicate the specific point that you seek to prove and the series of steps your argument will take in support of your FRP statement. Put another way, the proposal should not have an exploratory feel; rather, it should be a preliminary summary of the FRP paper. As a result, your proposal must demonstrate that you have already conducted preliminary research on the topic.

✓ Step 4: *Defend FRP Proposal.*

**When?** After you and your advisor have come to an agreement on the final revision of your proposal, you will submit your proposal to the MAR Assessment Team for review. You will then appear before this committee to defend your proposal; the dates on which this interview may be scheduled will be published at the beginning of each academic year. After the interview, the committee will either a) approve the proposal as presented, perhaps with recommendations to you and your advisor for possible improvement; or, b) request that you revise the proposal as per the committee's instructions and resubmit it for another review. Once your proposal is approved, the committee will provide you with a signed form that must be presented in order to register for FRP credit.

- **You may not enroll for FRP credit until you have completed the proposal defense and received the signed form from the committee.** No exceptions will be made to this rule.
- During the interview, committee members may ask questions relating to a) your reasons for selecting this FRP topic; b) the nature and scope of the topic (is this topic defensible, and is it too broad or too narrow for a project of this length?); and, c) the structure of the proposed argument (does your proposed argument seem capable of demonstrating your claim?).
- The committee will wish to see a clear statement of your FRP idea and the way in which you plan to prove your point. If the proposal seems speculative, if the goals seem unclear, or if you are not certain of the specific outcome, the proposal will be rejected.
- The committee will assume that the proposal has undergone several rounds of revision and that it comes with the recommendation of your faculty advisor (see notes on Step 3 above). If the proposal itself or your responses to interview questions suggest that this is not the case, your proposal will be rejected.
- The recommendations and conclusions of the MAR Assessment Team are not subject to appeal.

✓ Step 5: *Enroll for FRP credit and pay additional related fees.*

**When?** After receiving the **Thesis/FRP Proposal Approval Form** from the committee, enroll for credit.

The FRP must be completed within two semesters of the approval of the proposal. For example, if your proposal is approved in the spring semester, the FRP must be completed by the end of the following spring semester; if your proposal is approved in the fall semester, it must be completed by the end of the following fall semester. You need not enroll for the FRP course credit in the semester immediately following approval of the proposal.

- You may begin working on the FRP immediately upon successful completion of the proposal defense. This is true even if you decide to delay registration for the FRP course credit. For example, if you successfully defend your proposal in May, you can begin work on the FRP immediately, even if you prefer to wait to enroll for the FRP credit during the following spring semester.
- Your FRP will be bound and catalogued by the CCU library, and will also be distributed electronically through the TREN network (see Step 7 below). A number of fees are associated with this process; these fees will be charged to your account when you register for FRP course credit. These fees will be in addition to the normal tuition rate for the 3 hour FRP course.

✓ Step 6: *Write the FRP.*

**When?** As noted above, you may begin writing the FRP, under your advisor's supervision, as soon as you receive formal approval from the MAR Assessment Team. The FRP must be completed within the timeframe indicated in Step 5 above. Talk to your advisor about a specific schedule of deadlines relevant to your project—the specific project schedule will be entirely at your advisor's discretion. *The final draft of the FRP, along with all relevant forms and front matter (see Step 7 below), must be submitted to your advisor by **May 1** (for spring graduation) or **December 1** (for fall graduation).* The FRP document itself (minus the relevant forms and front matter) must also be submitted in electronic form by the same date.

- FRPs will typically require one to several major revisions before final approval. Be sure to work closely with your advisor to insure that all deadlines are met.
- FRPs are not complete until they have been correctly formatted according to the form and style specifications preferred by the relevant academic department. Consult with your advisor to determine the preferred form and style guidelines before you begin writing.

- A completed FRP will include as front matter, in the following order:
  - a title page
  - a personal *Vita* that includes at least the following information: full name; address; educational background (including CCU MAR/MDIV); professional background; relevant awards and honors. **See the sample *Vita* included in this packet.**
  - a 300 word (maximum) abstract that summarizes the FRP statement and main points of the argument. **See the sample *Abstract* included in this packet.**
  - Complete a *Copyright Agreement*, included in this packet.
- A completed FRP will include a list of Works Cited at the end of the document, which will include full bibliographic information for all sources cited in the discussion. The Works Cited list should include only works that are specifically cited in footnotes elsewhere in the document and, where relevant, source documents that are cited in the main body of the discussion (primarily historical documents such as the works of Josephus, the Dead Sea Scrolls, the Westminster Confession, the US Constitution, etc.).

✓ Step 7: *Submit the FRP.*

**When?** After final approval from your advisor, and before the deadlines indicated in Step 6 above, submit the FRP in both hardcopy and electronic forms to your advisor. The hardcopy version must include all materials noted in Step 6 above, as well as the completed TREN distribution agreement and catalogue information forms included in this packet. Two hardcopy versions of the FRP must be submitted; these copies must be printed on 20 pound weight acid-free paper.

- The library will bind and keep the two sets of hardcopy pages that you submit – the library will not make your personal copies for binding. The two hardcopies described above will be bound and catalogued in the CCU library.
- If you wish, you may purchase additional bound copies of the FRP. The library will order and ship these for you, but you must pay for these extra copies yourself and must provide hardcopy pages for binding. For example, if you wish to purchase 3 bound copies of the FRP for personal use, you must provide the library with 5 hardcopies of the FRP on 20 pound weight acid-free paper; 2 of these 5 will be bound for use by the library, while 3 will be shipped to you. You must pay for the 3 extra copies. Please speak with the CCU library office if you are interested in having your own copies bound.
- The FRP and its content are your own intellectual property, so you may print, bind, and distribute copies of the FRP in any form you wish at your discretion. Specifically here, you may wish to secure extra bound copies from publishing sources other than those provided by the library. No special permission is required for this option. The library, however, will *not* accept these alternate binding options; you must provide the library with the hardcopy manuscripts described above.
- Your advisor may, at his or her discretion, require you to provide her or him with a bound copy of your FRP. In this instance, you must provide the extra hardcopy for binding and pay for the binding, or provide your advisor with a bound copy secured from a publishing source other than that provided by the library.

✓ Step 8: *Grading.*

**When?** After you have submitted hardcopy and electronic versions of the FRP, including all materials described in the preceding steps, your advisor will report a grade for completion of the FRP course credit. You cannot graduate until this grade has been submitted.

- Note that you will not be permitted to graduate until *all* the materials described in this document have been submitted in a satisfactory form. Put another way, your faculty advisor cannot submit a grade for the FRP course credit until all the steps described in this document have been completed.
- All FRPs will be scored using the CBS Capstone Assessment Rubric attached to this document. *You must receive a minimum average score of “3” in order to receive FRP course credit.* Take time to review the rubric before you begin writing; this will give you a sense of the general expectations for the project.
- FRPs that do not receive a minimum average score of “3” may be revised and resubmitted.
- If you feel that your project has been graded in an unfair way, and/or you cannot reach an agreement with your faculty advisor on the final grade, you may appeal the grade to the MAR Assessment Team. In instances of appeal, all members of the committee will grade the FRP using the attached rubric. After

collaboration, the committee members may revise the final grade at their collective discretion. Neither the student nor the faculty advisor will appear before the committee in such cases; the FRP will be graded solely on its own content and merits.

- In cases where the grade under appeal is unsatisfactory to receive course credit – i.e., if the minimum average score is less than “3” – the student may not receive course credit, and thus may not graduate, until the MAR Assessment Team has reached a conclusion.
- There is no “second appeal” that would override the decisions of the MAR Assessment Team.

## FRP Proposal Guidelines

The FRP proposal is a 1500–2000 word document that indicates your FRP statement (the point you seek to demonstrate), outlines and summarizes your proposed argument for defending this claim, and identifies a preliminary research bibliography. Essentially, the proposal should function as a preview of the larger FRP to follow. Your FRP proposal may follow a variety of outlines, but be sure to cover all areas and issues mentioned in this document. Ultimately, consult with your advisor as to his/her preference for the organization of the material.

### 1. Introduction to the Topic:

The first section of the proposal should introduce the topic under consideration in ca. 500-1000 words. Survey the issues under consideration and indicate why the topic is significant. Feel free to explain the reasons why you wish to explore this issue, especially if the topic is of personal significance. Overall, this introductory section will read like a mini term paper, and may (but need not necessarily) include quotations or other citations of relevant sources.

The first sentence of the proposal should state the FRP under consideration succinctly. It should open with the formula, “This FRP will demonstrate that . . .”. The word “demonstrate” may be replaced with an equivalent active verb, such as “show,” “argue,” or “prove.” For FRPs involving a practical application, an opening formula such as, “This FRP will develop a model for . . .”, or, “This FRP will develop and present a program . . .”, is appropriate. Avoid vague terms such as “explore,” “survey,” and “investigate whether.” FRPs are not about exploring something; they are about proving something through careful research and coherent argument. The opening sentence of the proposal states exactly what you will research and prove.

*Examples:*

“This FRP will argue that Jesus’ actions in the Temple Incident reflected both political and theological concerns.”

“This FRP will demonstrate that the suppression of the Knights Templars resulted from motivations that were primarily economic rather than religious.”

“This FRP will develop and describe a divorce intervention program relevant to the needs of First Christian Church.”

### 2. Outline and Summary:

The second section of the proposal should provide a 1-2 paragraph summary of each section of the paper and the links between them. *Taken as a whole, these summaries should reveal the development of a consistent line of reasoning, leading to a well-informed conclusion.* Essentially, Section 2 is a paraphrase of what you expect the final version of the FRP to look like. Where relevant, quote or cite appropriate sources.

Each summary should begin with a formula such as, “Part 1 will introduce . . .”, or, “In Part 3, the arguments in favor of . . . will be surveyed and . . .”, or “Part 4 will survey . . . with emphasis on . . .”, or “Part 2 will argue that . . .”, or “Part 5 will apply the results of the preceding discussion to . . .”. Each summary should include a brief discussion of the basic conclusions you intend to reach in that section of the paper. Taken together, the section summaries should evidence a logical progression of thought from section to section in support of your conclusion.

*Example:*

Part 2 will survey the works of J. Louis Martyn, Raymond Brown, and John Painter, the three most significant proponents of the “Developmental Approach” to the Gospel of John. Each of these scholars argues that the current version of John is in some way the product of a long series of revisions in the face of the changing situation of the

Johannine Community. Brown, for example, sees five major stages in John's development, while Painter argues for a more complex reworking of the material to emphasize the theme of "the quest."

Many FRPs will naturally follow a five-stage outline:

- Part 1 – Introduction; FRP Statement; History of Research (if relevant); Overview of Discussion
- Part 2 – Presentation and Discussion of Raw Data; Analysis of Opposing Points of View (if relevant); Description of Methodological Framework (if relevant)
- Part 3 – Critical Analysis of Raw Data; Clear Statements of Your Personal Conclusions on the Relevant Issues
- Part 4 – Application of Your Personal Conclusions to the Text or Issue Under Consideration
- Part 5 – Summary and Conclusions
- Bibliography

Some FRPs that pursue issues of history will be organized chronologically or topically. In this case, be sure that your section breaks reflect divisions of time that are recognized within the field.

- Part 1 – Introduction; Statement of FRP; History of Research (if relevant); Overview of Chapters
- Part 2 – Period 1/Topic 1
- Part 3 – Period 2/Topic 2
- Part 4 – Period 3/Topic 3
- Part 5 – Summary and Conclusions
- Bibliography

With any outline, be sure that the final section of the FRP summarizes your conclusions and application while providing an answer for every question or issue raised in the introduction. In other words, be sure that the introduction and conclusion are balanced.

### **3. Bibliography:**

Your proposal should include 2-3 pages of available resources (provide full citation information) that you intend to consult as you begin your research. Any sources cited in the proposal itself should be included. Note that this bibliography will not be binding; it simply demonstrates that you are aware of the relevant literature in the field and that you will be capable of locating the necessary resources to support your research without extensive help from your advisor.

Be sure that your bibliography adequately covers all relevant sections of your FRP. For example, the bibliography for a theology FRP that seeks to demonstrate whether some aspect of Zwingli's doctrine is biblically accurate should include resources relevant to history (Zwingli), theology (the doctrinal point under consideration), and biblical studies (commentaries and reference books needed to produce the supporting exegesis). As another example, the bibliography for a FRP that seeks to develop a biblical model for divorce recovery should include resources on both counseling and biblical studies.





## ABSTRACT

THE SERMON ON THE MOUNT: A PERSPECTIVE FROM MATTHEW 5:20

THOMAS SCOTT GRIBBLE

Master of Arts in Religion

26 March 2010

Cincinnati Christian University

This thesis treats the contents of the Sermon on the Mount as authentic teachings of Jesus given in the historical context of his earthly ministry. The thesis focuses on two opposing models of righteousness: the Pharisaical model and Jesus' model. The thesis argues that the Pharisees promoted a fallacious model of righteousness and those who conformed to the Pharisaic model failed to enter into the kingdom of heaven. The thesis contends that Jesus' teachings in the Sermon specifically confronted fallacious teachings and practices of the Pharisees. Throughout the body of the Sermon, Jesus confronts specific aspects of the Pharisaic model, and he then identifies a righteousness that exceeds that of the Pharisees giving actionable steps a disciple must put into practice in order to enter the kingdom of heaven.

## VITA

Thomas Scott Gribble

Masters of Arts in Religion

Thesis: The Sermon on the Mount: A perspective from Matthew 5:20

Born: March 10, 1957, Wyandotte, MI

Education:

Platte Valley Bible College	Bachelor of Arts	1996
Cincinnati Christian University	Masters of Arts in Religion	2010

Ministry:

Preacher: Lyman Christina Church; Lyman, NE 1992-1998

Missionary: Good News for Africa/222 Ministries; Mozambique, Africa 1999-2005

Professor/Administrator: Summit Christian College; Gering, NE 2005-2010

**Microfilm Distribution Agreement for TREN**  
(Theological Research Exchange Network)  
P.O. Box 30183 \* Portland, OR \* 97294-3183 \* 800-334-8736  
<http://www.tren.com> - email: [rwjones@tren.com](mailto:rwjones@tren.com)

**Author Profile**

**Explanations**

1. Full Legal Name \_\_\_\_\_  
(last name)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(first name) (middle name)

2. Year of Birth \_\_\_\_\_

3. Country of Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_

4. Present Mailing Address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

5. Future Mailing Address \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Effective on \_\_\_\_\_  
(date)

6. Email Address \_\_\_\_\_

**Degree Information**

1. Degree Nomenclature \_\_\_\_\_ (Abbreviation for Degree)

2. Degree Date \_\_\_\_\_ (As Authorized by Institution)

3. Full Name of School Granting Degree

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Title Information**

1. Exact Title of Thesis/Dissertation as it Appears on Your Title Page

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## AGREEMENT

The author and the Theological Research Exchange Network (TREN), having a place of business at Portland, Oregon, hereby agree as follows for the publication of the submitted manuscript by TREN.

The author will supply the manuscript to TREN through his/her graduate school ready for reproduction and publication without change or further editing.

In consideration of making my work available to students and others, I hereby grant to TREN the non-exclusive worldwide right to reproduce, distribute, display and transmit the work (in whole or in part) in such tangible and electronic formats as may be in existence now or developed in the future. TREN shall have the right to retain the master negative microform of the thesis/dissertation for this purpose. I retain all rights to publish and/or sell my manuscript by any means at any time except for reproduction from negative microform.

I hereby warrant that I am the sole author of the thesis/dissertation, that the thesis/dissertation does not violate any right of privacy, that the thesis/dissertation does not contain any matter that is libelous or obscene, that the thesis/dissertation does not infringe upon any statutory or common law copyright, and that I am legally empowered to enter into this agreement.

I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless Theological Research Exchange Network (TREN) for any damages that it may sustain as a breach of the foregoing warranties and/or as a result of any misrepresentation in the information provided above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Author's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION

(Optional)

You already own the copyright to your thesis/dissertation. Copyright comes into effect automatically at the time your work is written. You do not need to register your copyright with the U.S. Copyright Office in order to assert your rights, but registration is recommended. Copyright registration enables others to contact you to ask permission for uses beyond what the law automatically grants, and provides certain protections if your copyright is violated.

1. If you have already published your thesis/dissertation, or registered your copyright of it with the U.S. Copyright Office, please enter the copyright notice below, exactly as it appears in the published edition or registration. Ensure also that this information appears in the copy of your thesis/dissertation that you send to TREN.

2. If your thesis/dissertation has not already been registered for copyright, would you like TREN to register it on your behalf?

Yes   No

Subject to compliance with other provisions of copyright law, persons eligible to secure U.S. copyright include citizens of the United States, persons domiciled in the United States at the time of first publication of their work, and citizens of foreign countries entitled to U.S. copyright under treaty, convention, agreement, or proclamation to which the United States is a party.

Fee: TREN will register your copyright for **\$60.00**. This includes the copyright fees plus the cost of two microfilm copies required by the Copyright Office. This fee must be **pre-paid by certified check or money order.**

Sign below only if you wish TREN to register your copyright:

"This copyright authorization is hereby incorporated in and made a party of my agreement with the Theological Research Exchange Network providing for publication of my thesis/dissertation.

"I hereby authorize TREN to file for me an Application for Registration of a Claim to Copyright in the U.S. Copyright Office, said copyright to be in my name.

"I hereby represent that my thesis/dissertation has not been previously published, and that I am eligible to copyright my thesis/dissertation in the United States."

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Author's Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)